19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1	
1	James R. Condo (#005867)
2	Amanda C. Sheridan (#027360) SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
	One Arizona Center
3	400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900
4	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204 Telephone: (602) 382-6000
	jcondo@swlaw.com
5	asheridan@swlaw.com
6	Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Georgia Bar No. 545599
7	Matthew B. Lerner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
8	Georgia Bar No. 446986 NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
	Atlantic Station
9	201 17th Street, NW, Suite 1700
10	Atlanta, GA 30363 Telephone: (404) 322-6000
	Telephone: (404) 322-6000 richard.north@nelsonmullins.com
11	matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com
12	Attorneys for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and
13	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.
14	IN THE UNITED STATES
15	FOR THE DISTRICT
16	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability
17	Litigation

S DISTRICT COURT T OF ARIZONA

N RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation	No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC
ziugation	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF BARD'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE OPINIONS OF ROBERT M. MCMEEKING

PH.D, AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT

Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively "Bard") hereby respectfully move this Court, pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), and Local Civil Rule 5.6 for leave to file under seal certain exhibits attached in support of Bard's Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Robert M. McMeeking, Ph.D., and Memorandum of Law in Support. These exhibits, contain certain trade secrets and confidential information that are protected under the Stipulated Protective Order, warranting protection from public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

Bard's Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Robert M. McMeeking, Ph.D., and some of the accompanying Exhibits contain certain Plaintiffs' personal health care information. Portions of the Submission itself, as well as Exhibit B (Excerpts from Dr. McMeeking's 7/6/17 MDL Deposition Transcript), Exhibit C (Excepts from Dr. McMeeking's 5/24/11 Newton/Kolenda Deposition Transcript), and Exhibit F (Excerpts from Dr. Smith's 4/4/17 MDL Deposition Transcript) discuss particular plaintiffs' respective medical histories and current medical conditions, are confidential under the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269), and are also protected from public disclosure pursuant to HIPAA. Indeed, the Court has already sealed similar information in this litigation.

Similarly, portions of the Submission itself, as well as Exhibit A (Portions of Dr. McMeeking's 3/3/17 Rule 26 Report), Exhibit B (Excerpts from Dr. McMeeking's 7/6/17 MDL Deposition Transcript), Exhibit C (Excepts from Dr. McMeeking's 5/24/11 Newton/Kolenda Deposition Transcript), Exhibit D (Portions of Dr. Betensky's 1/27/17 Rule 26 Report), and Exhibit E (Portions of Dr. McMeeking's 5/11/17 Rebuttal Rule 26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14 325-6000

Report) contain pieces of highly competitive, confidential, proprietary information that warrant protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G) because the documents are not made public by Bard and, if obtained by Bard's competitors, could give an unfair economic advantage to those competitors.

"When a court grants a protective order for information produced during discovery, it already has determined that 'good cause' exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002). See also, Medicis Pharm. Corp. v. Acella Pharm., LLC, CV 10-1780-PHX-JAT, 2012 WL 2260928 at *2 (D. Ariz. June 15, 2012) (sealing exhibits related to "Medicis' marketing strategy, Acella's product formulation,...various e-mails and deposition transcripts, viscosity test data, sales and marketing information, and various other documents" because "[m]uch of this information has been previously sealed by the Court, has been designated as confidential by the parties pursuant to the protective order in this case, or could otherwise potentially harm the parties if released publicly because of its confidential and sensitive nature.").

See also, Blanchard & Co., Inc. v. Barrick Gold Corp., No. 02-3721, 2004 WL 737485, at *5 (E.D. La. Apr. 5, 2004) (citing *Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg*, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994)). Indeed, Bard has already produced Exhibit E and designated it as "CONFIDENTIAL" pursuant to Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269), ¶ 6.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons Bard requests that the Court grant its Motion for Leave to File Under Seal.

CERTIFIC	ATE OF	SERVICE
-----------------	--------	----------------

I hereby certify that on August 24, 2017, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send e-mail notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

s/ Matthew B. Lerner

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14 325-6000

EXHIBIT A

DOCUMENTS PROPOSED TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Defendants request they be permitted to file under seal the following documents in support of their Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Robert M. McMeeking, Ph.D., and Memorandum of Law in Support.

Exhibit A: Dr. McMeeking's 3/3/17 Rule 26 Report

Exhibit B: Dr. McMeeking's 7/6/17 MDL Deposition Transcript

Dr. McMeeking's 5/24/11 Newton/Kolenda Deposition Transcript Exhibit C:

Exhibit D: Dr. Betensky's 1/27/17 Rule 26 Report

Exhibit E: Dr. McMeeking's 5/11/17 Rebuttal Rule 26 Report

Exhibit F: Dr. Smith's 4/4/17 MDL Deposition Transcript